# Visualizing Social Networks with Structure Preserving Embedding

## Introduction

## **Social Network Visualization as** Low-Dimensional Graph Embedding

From only connectivity information describing which nodes in a graph are connected, can we learn a set of low-dimensional coordinates for each node such that these coordinates can easily be used to reconstruct the original structure of the network?



adjacency matrix  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{B}^{n imes n}$ 

for each node  $\mathbf{L} \in \mathbb{R}^{d imes n}$ 

**Spectral embedding** - decompose adjacency matrix A with an SVD and use eigenvectors with highest eigenvalues for coordinates.

Laplacian Eigenmaps (Belkin, Niyogi '02) - form graph laplacian from adjacency matrix,  $\mathcal{L} = \mathbf{D} - \mathbf{A}$ , apply SVD to  $\mathcal{L}$  and use eigenvectors with smallest non-zero eigenvalues for coordinates.

**Spring embedding** - simulate physical system where edges are springs, use Hookes law to compute forces.

## **Structure Preserving Constraints**

Given a connectivity algorithm  $\mathcal{G}$  (such as k-nearest neighbors, b-matching, or maximum weight spanning tree) which accepts as input a kernel  $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{L}^{\top} \mathbf{L}$  specifying an embedding L and returns an adjacency matrix, we call an embedding *structure preserving* if the application of  $\mathcal{G}$  to **K** exactly reproduces the input graph:  $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{K}) = \mathbf{A}.$ 



 $\mathcal{G}(K) \rightarrow$  k-nearest neighbors:  $D_{ij} > (1 - A_{ij}) \max_m (A_{im} D_{im})$ 

 $\mathcal{G}(K) \rightarrow \text{epsilon-balls:}$  $D_{ij}(A_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}) \le \epsilon(A_{ij} - \frac{1}{2})$ 

## **Structure Preserving Embedding** optimized via SDP + SVD

SPE for greedy nearest-neighbor constraints solves the following SDP:

> $\max_{\mathbf{K}\in\mathcal{K}}$  $D_{ij} >$

SPE



## Structure Preserving Embedding optimized via SGD

As first proposed, SPE learns a matrix K via a semidefinite program (SDP) and then decomposes  $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{L}^{\top} \mathbf{L}$  by performing singular value decomposition. We propose optimizing  $\mathbf{L}$  directly using stochastic gradient descent (SGD).

## SPE-SGD

Structure preserving constraints can be written as a set of matrices  $S = \{\mathbf{C}_1, \mathbf{C}_2, ..., \mathbf{C}_m\}$ , where each  $C_l$  is a constraint matrix corresponding to a triplet (i, j, k) such that  $A_{ij} = 1$  and  $A_{ik} = 0$ . This set of all triplets clearly subsumes the SPE distance constraints, and allows each individual constraint to be written as  $tr(\mathbf{C}_{l}\mathbf{K}) > 0$  where  $\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{C}_{l}\mathbf{K}) = K_{jj} - 2K_{ij} + 2K_{ik} - K_{kk}.$ 



Temporarily dropping the centering and scaling constraints, we can now formulate the SDP above as maximizing the following objective function over L:

 $f(\mathbf{L}) = \lambda \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{L}^{\top})$ 

## The Algorithm

$$\operatorname{Er}(\mathbf{KA})$$
  
>  $(1 - A_{ij}) \max_{m} (A_{im} D_{im}) \ \forall_{i,j}$ 

where  $\mathcal{K} = \{ \mathbf{K} \succeq 0, \text{ tr}(\mathbf{K}) \le 1, \sum_{ij} K_{ij} = 0 \}$ 





$$\mathbf{LA}) - \sum_{l \in S} \max(\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{C}_l \mathbf{L}^\top \mathbf{L}), 0).$$

## **Structure Preserving Embedding** optimized via SGD cont.

We will maximize  $f(\mathbf{L})$  via projected stochastic subgradient decent. Define the subgradient in terms of a single randomly chosen triplet:

$$\Delta(f(\mathbf{L}), \mathbf{C}_l) = \begin{cases} 2\mathbf{L}(\lambda \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{C}_l) \text{ if } \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{C}_l) \\ 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and for each randomly chosen triplet constraint  $C_l$ , if  $\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{C}_{l}\mathbf{L}^{\top}\mathbf{L}) > 0$  then update  $\mathbf{L}$  according to:

$$\mathbf{L}_{t+1} = \mathbf{L}_t + \eta \Delta(f(\mathbf{L}_t), \mathbf{C}_l)$$

where the step-size  $\eta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}$ . After each step, we can use projection to enforce that  $tr(\mathbf{L}^{\top}\mathbf{L}) \leq 1$  and  $\sum_{ij} (\mathbf{L}^{\top} \mathbf{L})_{ij} = 0$ , by subtracting the mean from **L** and dividing each entry of  $\mathbf{L}$  by its Frobenius norm.



Impostors **Red** nodes incur hinge loss violations because they are impostors of the blue nodes neighborhood.

Algorithm 1 Large-Scale Structure Preserving Embedding

- **Require:**  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{B}^{n \times n}$ , dimensionality d, regularizer parameter  $\lambda$ , and maximum iterations T
- 1: Initialize  $\mathbf{L}_0 \leftarrow \operatorname{rand}(d, n)$ (or optionally initialize to spectral embedding or Laplacian eigenmaps solution)
- 2:  $t \leftarrow 0$
- 3: repeat
- $\eta_t \leftarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{t+1}}$
- $i \leftarrow \operatorname{rand}(1 \dots n)$
- $j = \arg\min_j \parallel L_i L_j \parallel_2 \quad \forall_j \quad \text{s.t.} \quad A(i,j) = 1$
- $\mathbf{C} \leftarrow \operatorname{zeros}(n \times n)$
- $\mathbf{C}_{ij} \leftarrow 1, \, \mathbf{C}_{ij} \leftarrow -1, \, \mathbf{C}_{ji} \leftarrow -1$
- for all k s.t.  $\| L_i L_k \|_2 < \| L_i L_i \|_2$ AND A(i,k) = 0 do
- $\mathbf{C}_{ik} \leftarrow 1, \, \mathbf{C}_{ki} \leftarrow 1, \, \mathbf{C}_{kk} \leftarrow -1$ end for 11:
- $\nabla_t \leftarrow 2\mathbf{L}_t \left(\lambda \mathbf{A} \mathbf{C}\right)$ 12: $\mathbf{L}_{t+1} \leftarrow \mathbf{L}_t + \eta_t \nabla_t$
- {Subtract out mean} 14:
- $\mathbf{L}_{t+1} = \frac{\mathbf{L}_{t+1}}{\|\mathbf{L}_{t+1}\|_2} \{ \text{Project on to unit sphere} \}$
- $t \leftarrow t + \frac{1}{2}$ 16:
- 17: **until** t > T
- 18: return L

## **Blake Shaw Tony Jebara Columbia University**

## Results

 $_{\mathbf{L}} \mathbf{L}^{\top} \mathbf{L} > 0$ 

#### Visualizing the Enron Email Network link structure of email correspondence between 36692 Enron employees





*#* of nodes with fewer than x impostors

#### **Political Blogs**

link structure of 981 blogs, red is conservative, blue is liberal reconstruction error shown as percentage





